APPLIED TROPHOLOGY, VOLUME 10

Applied Trophology, Vol. 10, No. 7 (July 1966)

"A Doctor's Viewpoint of Whole Food," by Dr. Yellowlees

The following is a transcription of the July 1966 issue of Dr. Royal Lee's *Applied Trophology* newsletter, originally published by Standard Process Laboratories.

(Editor's note: *This article was originally published in two parts in the July and August 1966 issues of the Applied Trophology newsletter. For your convenience, we are presenting it in its entirety here.)*

A Doctor's Viewpoint of Whole Food

by Dr. Yellowlees

A shorter version of the talk given at the Attingham Conference. Dr. Yellowlees has a practice in Perthshire and has been a member of the Soil Association for fifteen years.

Twenty-seven years ago, when I sat in a university lecture room, the Professor of Therapeutics would begin his course of lectures by saying that the active agents, that is, those medicines which did any good at all in altering the course of disease, could almost be counted on the fingers of one hand. Quinine did help malaria, soluble arsenical compounds could bring to a halt the advance of syphilis, liver extract could cure pernicious anemia—and there, apart from digitalis, was the list he would say. "If all the medicines being prescribed in Britain today were thrown into the sea, only the fish would suffer."

Yet even at that time this emphasis on the lack of effective drugs was getting out of date. For in the adjoining wards of Edinburgh Royal Infirmary we were shown patients admitted to the hospital suffering from pneumonia, who, instead of getting worse and undergoing the harrowing course of this disease, were free from fever in forty-eight hours, sitting up and asking for nourishment.

This was thanks to May and Baker's new sulphonamides—the "M and B's"—the magic tablets which were proving to be effective in a number of hitherto untreatable conditions.

And so the new age of medicine was born, the renaissance in doctoring that began in the mid-1930s. A few years later, during the war, penicillin came on the scene and astonished the world with its efficacy. After the war the pharmaceutical revolution quickened its pace.

New broad-spectrum antibiotics came fast and in profusion. Streptomycin was found to deter the tubercule bacillus, and at last the conquest of tuberculosis seemed in sight. Cortisone, hypothesizes, the tranquilizers, the anticoagulants, poured from the busy laboratories like water from a fountain

Into the hands of the medical profession had been put sharp, gleaming swords.

The impotence in the face of disease of the doctors of the pre-sulfonamide era, so eloquently expressed by my professor, was a thing of the past, and it seems that both doctors and patients have become so dazzled by these outstanding successes in the field of disease treatment that *they have been blinded to the simple truth that many of the diseases need never have occurred.*

The validity of this simple truth, as I have called it, had been given to the world in 1936, when the late Sir Robert McCarrison[1] delivered his Cantor Lectures before the Society of Arts. He gave the results of his researches into the relationship between food and health, and he drew a practical conclusion from them which was as simple as it was fresh and whole.

Maybe it was an ironic twist of fate that the new understanding of the *cause* of disease came about the same time as the renaissance in disease treatment.

Prevention and Treatment

So, the medical professions of all advanced countries were bent on devoting most of their energies to dealing with results, rather than causes.

I think this attitude is well summed up in an opinion expressed in the *B.M.J.* leading article last year.[2]

Professor A.B. MacGregor, in an epidemiological survey of dental caries in Ghana, had reported a generally lower incidence of tooth decay than in this country, except in the case of the wealthier Ghanaians who could afford to buy imported refined flour and sugar. In this group the rate of decay approached that of Britain. As the standard of living in Ghana rises, it was predicted, soon the whole population would be eating not their own indigenous cereals but the imported refined carbohydrates. So dental caries in Ghana would become as widespread as in Britain. To meet this situation the article suggested the urgent necessity of a crash program (how planners love crash programs) for the training of African dentists. Or, in other words, arrange for a disease to happen and then call for urgent, expensive measures to treat it!

Somber Statistics

As a nation, although our mortality statistics have shown steady improvement, we do not appear to get any healthier.

In 1956, the report from the Department of Health for Scotland[3] stated this statement: "The hospitals are busier than ever, more outpatients and more patients treated in the wards. The vital statistics from the Ministry of Pensions and National Insurance show no decline in the incidence of certifiable sickness."

In 1964, the same report stated: "In terms of hospital attendances and demands for hospital treatment it might seem that ill health was increasing. There is no simple satisfactory explanation of the fact that better disease control, the saving of lives and improved environment are still accompanied by heavy sickness incidence."

The figures for the first seven months of sickness benefit for employed men in Great Britain (excluding civil servants) in England and Wales, as an annual rate of discharge from hospital beds for acute illnesses has been rising from 61 per 1,000 population in 1953 to 70 per 1,000 in 1963.[4]

Some 90,000 school children in Britain are now leaving school with a full set of dentures.[5]

These are somber statistics. Seventeen years' experience as a GP in a Highland community has not shaken my conviction that improvement will never come about while our people continue their present disastrous dietary habits. I have only to survey between my two doors.

A few years ago, I attended a young mother in her first confinement. She was just five feet tall and her father was a farm worker. She had a long, difficult labor ending in operative delivery and a stillborn baby. *Studies in Aberdeen* by Professor Sir Dugald Baird[6] have shown that in Social Class I (that is, the professional classes), only 6 percent of mothers are under 5 feet, 1 inch in height, whereas in Social Class V the percentage of small women is 30. The stillbirth rates in Class V are 50 percent more than in the professional classes, and the neonatal death rate, that is the number of babies who die in the first month of life, is also 50 percent higher.

Here is a classic example of the supreme importance of dietary factors in disease prevention. The small stature of the poorer mothers is due to their inferior diet; their pelvic bones tend to be too small to permit the safe passage of babies. They are more prone to anemia, toxemia, and hemorrhage of pregnancy.

I was discussing these things recently in a large hospital with an obstetrician and suggesting that the whole aspect of maternity hospital work would change if only we could upgrade the diet of normal women from Social Class V to that of Social Class I. "Yes," he replied, "and we would have no work to do."

Evidence that Whole Food Improves Health

The steepest fall in stillbirth and neonatal death rates occurred during the war. A dramatic improvement that is generally attributed to the wartime food policy—a time when sugar was rationed, the extraction rate of flour was raised, and consumption of wholemeal bread greatly increased. It cannot have been due to improved maternity services, for there was a war time shortage of doctors and nurses.

But the experience in Holland[7] is even more relevant for those interested in whole food, because there—although during the German occupation food became scarce—the stillbirth rate fell from 25 per 1,000 births before the war to 19 in 1944. Most of this improvement was due to the better health of the pregnancy which is the commonest cause of stillbirth, and the Dutch authorities consider that the improvement was due to the abolition of peacetime overeating. There is other evidence that a high intake of refined foods such as sugar and refined flour is the main cause of pregnancy toxemia.

Dr. E.H. Hipsley reported some years ago in the *B.M.J.* the results of surveys of pregnancy toxemia in Fiji.[8] Among the Fijian women studied, the incidence of toxemia in the years of native diet was 0.7 percent, but in the community living in Fiji it was relatively high. The Fijian diet consists of fresh vegetables, fruits, and small quantities of meat, fish, and crabs, the bulk of the Indian diet is refined flour and rice.

This experience agrees exactly with a delightful essay written by Dr. Mary Jackson[9] on her work in Northern Alberta among the Metis Indians. She went there in 1930 and for the first twelve years never saw a case of pregnancy toxemia.

But during the war the Mackenzie Highway to the northern oil fields and uranium mines was driven through this district. The Indians were given highly paid jobs and access to imported foodstuffs.

Instead of fresh meat, fresh fruit, beans, eggs, and the flesh of fish or birds, they began eating white bread, sugary processed breakfast foods, puddings, sweet biscuits, candy, and chocolate. For the first time cases of pregnancy toxemia began to appear. To quote Dr. Jackson, "So a rising standard of living, and a considerable measure of social security have been accompanied by an increase in the incidence of dental caries and a falling standard of health in pregnant and old people."

How often, as the cause of a disease is finally explained after patient, complex research, the case for dietary reform is strengthened. Here again I quote from personal experience.

Coronary Artery Disease

I wish to turn now to one of the most terrible epidemics of modern times, coronary artery disease.[10]

One fact is undisputed: primitive races, having as yet no contact with "civilized" foods, show an almost complete freedom of this heart disease below sixty years of age. In the years when these same people have access to "civilized" food they start getting the disease.[11] An interesting example of this was recently published in the *Lancet*,[12] giving information about the changed dietary habits in local fishermen from the Hebrides. Newcomers from the Yemen had been shown to suffer much less from coronary heart disease, high blood pressure and diabetes than did their fellow Yemenites who had been settled in Israel from more than twenty-five years.

Doctors Cohen, Bailey, and Poznanski, who did this work, suggested that the chief cause for this deteriorating condition might be the increased consumption in Israel of sugar. In the Yemen no refined sugar was taken by the families studied, whereas when they settled in Israel it formed about 20 percent of their carbohydrate. Otherwise their diets were broadly similar.

There is no general agreement among medical authorities about the cause of the tremendous increase in the last three decades of disability and death due to this disease.

My own feeling is that fats do not play such an important part and that, when the fresh fruit is exposed, this disease will be yet another example of—to quote Cleave—"saccharin disease;"[13]—is a condition due to diets over-heavy with refined carbohydrate.

If dietary factors cause degeneration of arterial walls, it might be expected that other tissues in the same patient would also be affected by degenerative processes.

Diet, Stress and Heredity

Occasionally, studies of individual cases for which the GP is so well placed may be revealing as the wider surveys of the epidemiologist.

I followed the cases of two men with serious degeneration of the intervertebral discs of their spines and both later developed fatal coronary artery blockage. I believe that the causes of these two quite separate conditions is the same—a faulty diet. The degeneration of intervertebral discs is due to a deficiency of the conditions in the bones, and it is my opinion that the main cause is inadequate or unbalanced intake of minerals and possibly lack of protein or vitamin factors.

Another thing I have noticed is the frequency with which, on examining many of the people who succumb to coronary thrombosis while on holiday in our practice area, the tell-tale scar of a gastrectomy—that is the operation to "cure" duodenal ulcer—is found in the same patients. This is an impression, with no figures to back it up, but Fry,[14] in his practice near London, has given statistical evidence to suggest that there is an association between peptic ulcer and coronary thrombosis.

"But wait," the protagonists of psychosomatic medicine will say at this point, "the association, if it exists, between these two diseases is because both were caused by the same emotional stress."

My reply would be that the body and mind were built to withstand both physical and mental stress. I sometimes wonder if some people in this British of 1965 couldn't do with a bit more stress! I think of the parable of the two houses, one built on sand, the other on rock. When the storms of stress blow hard, the inadequately fed organism, nourished on the deported foodstuffs of today, will wear out or collapse. An adequately nourished organism will stand.

Probably hereditary factors determine which system or organ will breakdown first when the individual is exposed to adverse dietary factors.

Two bachelor brothers lived on a hill farm. They were not over-intelligent, and the squalor of their kitchen was terrible to see. Their feeding arrangements consisted in getting from the weekly van what could satisfy hunger with the minimum of trouble, and white bread with jam, tea, tinned milk, and tinned meat seemed to be the main items on the menu. Two years ago, one brother developed a painful leg. He was found to have profound anemia and massive hemorrhages typical of scurvy. But the other brother was unaffected, possibly his metabolism was better adapted to utilizing the tender supplies of vitamin C available.

These two brothers might be termed modern-day primitives, their small farm from which they seldom strayed is surrounded by luxuriant growth of green things, mostly nettles and other weeds. What a sad reflection that they had lost even the instinctive know-how to cultivate a few potatoes or turnips.

Not far away by the road, an old lady almost ninety sits by her fire and speaks, as is usual in the sept, of the days gone by, depletions—again as old people do—present-day customs. We can ignore her opinions, if we like, as being the rambling of senescence, but her account of the nutrition of her childhood is interesting. "We had plenty of everything on our farm," she has said to me. "We had cows and sheep and hens. We made lovely cheeses and lots of fresh butter."

The Remedy Is Too Simple

The other day I came across a remarkable echo of "the weakness of the remedy lies in its simplicity." James Lind, the Portsmouth Naval Surgeon who had suggested that scurvy among sailors could be prevented by a ration of lemon juice, wrote, "Some persons cannot be brought to believe that a disease so fatal can be prevented by such easy means. They would have them in elaborate composition dignified with the title of an anti-scorbutic golden elixir or the like."

Although we have seen in recent decades a tremendous expansion of human knowledge of material things, I doubt if we have matched our increase in knowledge with a deepening of our wisdom.

References

1. *M.J.*, 17, 10, 1964.
2. Reports of the Department of Health for Scotland, HMSO Edin., 1956.
3. Reports of the Department of Health for Scotland, HMSO Edin., 1964.
4. National Insurance Acts 1946/64. Report by Government Actuary on Third Quinquennial Review, HC 20. HMSO London, 1964.
5. "Challenge to Survival," *M.J.*, 3, 7, 1965.
6. Harold Dodd. *M.J.*, 21, 11, 1964.
7. Sir Dugald Baird. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. Livingstone, 1962.
8. Hipsley, Eben H. "Dietary Fiber and Pregnancy." *B.M.J.*, 12, 8, 1963.
9. Jackson, Dr. Mary. *Journal of Medical Women's Federation*.
10. Davidson, Mellvaigen, and Passmore. *Human Nutrition and Dietetics*. Livingstone, 1959.
11. Hibbard, M.H., and Hibbard, D.H. *M.J.*, 7, 12, 1963.
12. "Prophylactic Iron and Folic Acid in." *B.M.J.*, 16, 11, 1964.
13. Cleave, T.L. *Fat Consumption and Coronary Disease*. John Wright & Sons, 1957.
14. Cohen, Bailey, and Poznanski. *Lancet*, 23, 12, 1961.
15. Cleave, T.L. *Peptic Ulcer*. John Wright & Sons, 1962.
16. Fry, J. "Peptic Ulcer a Prolific" B.M.J., 26, 9, 1964.
17. McCarrison, Sir Robert. *Nutrition and Health*. Faber & Faber.

—Reprinted in part from "Mother Earth," The Journal of the Soil Association, Vol. 14, No. 1, Haughley, Suffolk, England.

« Applied Trophology, Vol. 10, No. 6 (June 1966)

Applied Trophology, Vol. 10, No. 8 (August 1966) »

This entry was posted in Applied Trophology, Volume 10. Bookmark the permalink.

Leave a Reply

You must be logged in to post a comment.

Connect with:

Secured by OneAll Social Login